

LB Series: 'Tyranny in America -Where, Why, How, and Fighting Back':**STATEMENT OF THE PRESENT TYRANNY THAT FATHERS FACE**

Under present feminist jurisprudence, fit and willing fathers in divorce and paternity actions presently are denied many of their most fundamental rights. These denials begin with the denial of their constitutional right to parent their own children by denying them both legal and physical custody of their children without benefit of a jury and the due process required to deny any constitutional right. Denying both legal and physical custody to fathers sets the stage to immediately deny most of a father's other constitutional rights under government imposed 'greater good' (than a father's constitutional rights) therefore imposing governmental tyranny on fathers.

A whole industry of government agencies, private organizations, and individuals then profit immensely through this tyranny directed against noncustodial fathers, and it conspires to maintain and grow its profits, its power, and the tyranny itself.

The tyranny's first cut: Denial of a fit father's right to parent his child under divorce or paternity actions:

- 1) Some 90 to 97% of fit and willing fathers are denied physical custody of their children in violation of equal protection and due process guaranteed by the 14th Amendment of the US constitution. The government's 'greater good' that pre-empts a fit parent from continuing his or her equal rights to his child is 'the best interest of the child'. This standard is not constitutionally justified when the government tries to take a child from two fit married parents or a fit sole parent, but is unconstitutionally imposed under divorce and paternity actions with respect to the father only.
Even if the father shared direct care-giving of the child or was the prime caregiver, the mother almost invariably ends up with full physical custody -making the father -at best a noncustodial visitor -typically for day or two every two weeks.
- 2) If there is any possibility that the father may get physical custody because he is the primary caregiver of the child, the mother will almost invariably file an unconstitutional restraining order against him. This immediately throws him out of his house by the mother simply declaring -with no evidence -that she's in fear of him -irrespective of her behavior. The court immediately assigns him child support (extortion), stops all contact with his children -with the threat of up to 2 1/2 years in jail for so much as sending a birthday card to his child. These unconstitutional RO's are handed out like candy to women virtually 100% of the time under the government imposed greater good of 'safety of women' which takes precedence, now, over constitutional due process.
- 3) Almost no immoral behavior on the part of the mother nor outright perjury by her will stop the overwhelming chance that she will get full custody of the children-and all the benefits that accrue to it. The courts will jump at any alleged disreputable behavior of the father -true or not- to justify the forgone conclusion that he will be denied custody of his children.

The overwhelming statistics suggested here show the 'kangaroo court' nature of America's family court, where no jury is allowed and which under an unconstitutional guise of 'a greater good' persecutes and tyrannizes fathers. The court's behavior precisely reflects the type of state denial of fundamental rights which the Civil Rights Act of 1867 was created to stop against Blacks after the civil war -and for ever more.

When every facet of business, education, and government is forced to subscribe to 'equal rights' -even to the point of equal outcome even for nonfundamental rights, an exactly reverse imposition occurs for fathers in divorce and paternity suits where the most fundamental rights are at stake. The 'best interest of the child' determination -aside from being unconstitutional for fit parents - is a sham determination with no justified validity in over 95% of the cases and often leave child custody to unfit mothers in spite of a fit and willing fathers.

The father -a noncustodial parent now- is left with meager visitation rights averaging less than one day in seven. This meager visitation far too often evolves to less visitation due to frequent visitation violations by the mother or her outright alienation of the children from their father to preclude him from ever getting physical custody and the 'booty' that it brings.

Its second cut: Denied his children, a father's civil murder and his extortion begins by the government-instigated divorce and domestic violence industry (DDVI) who harvest him for their daily bread - as Robert Cheney Jr's "Suffering Patriarchy" aptly shows

- 4) With unjust denial of custody to the father, the state can order the father to make extortion payment of some 25% to 75% of his gross income for the personal use and discretion of the mother. She then can live -with or without a boyfriend in the father's house-at a standard equal to or greater than when living with the father and she is free to pervert the father's relationship with his children unfettered by traditional and previous constitutional requirements on her immoral influence on his children.

These extortion payments -euphemistically called 'child support' -an example of Orwell's "1984" new speak- leaves most fathers nearly destitute at best. This government imposed extortion against fathers is the engine that supports the existence of the conspirators who compose the Divorce and Domestic Violence Industry and who promote the financial destruction and the civil murder of noncustodial fathers in our society today. If he doesn't pay all of which he never freely contracted to do, he is sent to jail despite America's eradication of unconstitutional debtors' prison for debts people did and still do freely contract to pay! They create 'deadbeat dads' out of decent fathers all the time.

The government then defines a 'responsible father' as he who will allow himself to be so extorted -clearly another example of Orwellian 'new speak'. So while the government outrightly denies fundamental rights and benefits due to a father who has committed no real crime, it demands his payment to it -as his responsibility for the child that it has unconstitutionally denied to him despite the 13th amendment against slavery passed some 1 1/2 centuries ago.

- 5) Many more unconstitutional restrictions are also imposed on a father: his choice of career, his right to contract, and if he owes child support - the government denies him his right to travel out of the country and confiscates any of his assets. If he can't pay, he is sent to jail.

The state, by denying a father physical custody of his child with the concomitant phony right to extort any and all his money, effectively controls every part of a father's life - restricting what type of jobs he can choose or contracts he can make. The state literally makes him a slave by imposing extortion and restrictions upon his efforts and himself, yet denies him his fundamental rights and benefits and the determination of how to use the fruit of his labor.

The state obliterates his privacy. The court can demand -at will- any of his property or possessions to give to the mother of his child for whatever she and the court wishes. All this is done under the 'greater good' of 'best interest of the child' - 'til the child's 23rd birthday or his graduation from college -whichever occurs first. The state forces the father to pay for his child's college education no matter what the behavior, or level of alienation, this *adult* child maintains to him.

These unjust impositions by the state -generated and based on its unconstitutional denial of a father's rights - are repugnant to everything that Americans hold sacred and fundamental. The state -in its tyranny- destroys his life, the meaning of freedom, and ultimately imposes extreme abuse upon his children who are denied their own father's parenting, companionship, and legacy.

LLib

6) Lastly, each conspirator of the DDVI takes a cut of the father directly or indirectly and thereby grows and prospers at his expense.

Liberty Bell Addendum -page 2

The Divorce and Domestic Violence Industry , DDVI, who grow and perpetuate the tyranny for their daily bread -as Prof. Stephen Baskerville has exampled often.

Because divorce and paternity laws reduce a father to property of the state, he can be harvested by the mother, private individuals, and state agencies - all coordinated through the family court- to supply their daily bread under the rubric 'the best interests of the child'. These harvestors together constitute the 'Divorce and Domestic Violence Industry' (DDVI), a court and state dependent cadre that has exploded in number, in power, and in wealth over the last 30 years under Government-supported 'affirmative' measures that preempts fundamental and equal rights of nongovernment-favored groups -specifically men and most especially fathers- for the benefit of 'favored groups' - most pointedly women and, here, mothers. And who are these agents of tyranny?

We, here, identify the government-instigated cadre who are the Divorce and Domestic Violence industry, who live off noncustodial fathers, and who promote more of the same through their influence on the judiciary, executive and legislature. The special interest groups of this industry live on court-ordered fees, payments, and litigation costs that go on forever and other assorted state funding in support of same.

The special interest groups of the DDVI and their \$\$\$ interests:

Mothers/women who purposely lie and distort truth to deny a father's equal parental rights (under shared or equal time split physical and legal custody) to his children and thereby can extort under pain of prison 10s to 100,000s of dollars from him through child support payments for upto 23 years while perverting and alienating his own children from him.

Mothers that don't equally share legal and physical custody are truly the most abusive of parents and most villainous as human beings.

Family Court Judges who daily ignore constitutional protections and lie from the bench under their feminist jurisprudence, and conspire with mothers to criminalize fathers who have never done anything wrong -all without a jury present; and who, in conspiracy with the Department of Revenue, support themselves illegally by ordering ever larger childsupport; and who amass large amounts of political power by rewarding their buddies with GAL appointments and then get jobs after they retire with GAL provider firms; and who get lots of extra income while on the bench from doing seminars, books, "how to" manuals and the like. Such judges are truly criminal and treasonous. They are the lynchpin upon which this tyranny depends.

GALs and their provider umbrella firms and associations, who now make \$200/hr by court appointment with full immunity for writing up 'hearsay' reports that always find problems with fathers so judges can deny fathers custody of their own child, and so the GALs can get reappointed again by these judges - to make their daily bread.

Parent Coordinators who feed upon fathers much like GALs do.

Family service and Probation officers who keep their jobs by perpetuating the system by enforcing the anti-father feminist jurisprudence.

Lawyers who perpetuate the Massachusetts divorce laws with the insidious family court system as it is today. The gravy train fees that present divorce/restraining order laws provide them is just too much to forego in spite of the injustice and destruction of fathers and their families they help deliver. They average some \$250/hr for work that a 9th grader could do. Because they have unconstitutionally locked out legal help and counsel from decent people for just over the last 60 years, their exclusive power has wrought the corruption and disgracefulness that their profession is now known for - especially in divorce work.

Women's (changed now to victims') advocates whom all judges know to be women's advocates and advise women how to plead their case; who monitor judge behavior and any anti-women (pro-father) decisions to expose an anti-women judge; and who are allowed to act as lawyers and approach the bench for guidance of women -a disgusting privilege exclusive to them under Feminist jurisprudence.

Psychologists who in addition to serving as GALs, feed off the psychological damage to father and his children that the present divorce system produces; who reap continual profits from fathers until the psychologist -and not the parent - decides a child is OK. They are pseudoscientific whores of the court.

General Mental Health Professionals for Visitation Transfers who make money "facilitating" the visitation transfers between parents who supposedly cannot get along; but, usually it is only the mother who does not want to get along so she will get rewarded automatically with full physical custody.

Mental health evaluation clinics where men in divorce are deemed angry about being tyrannized and then punished for it.

Visitation centers for men to see their children for \$50 per hour when they have never committed any act that a reasonable person would consider 'actionable' abuse if any at all. Visitation centers are the fastest growing industry in Massachusetts in which judges have investments. "When in doubt send him there"!

Batterers groups instituted only for men -and paid by men to attend -under court order, -none for women who have again and again shown to be equally violent or abusive to men...just more feminist jurisprudence.

Battered women shelters obviously only for women who advise women how to get a 'effective' divorce with trumped up abuse allegations -none for men.

Parent Education Program and Anger Management Providers who have a mandatory and monopolistic business in MA, by court order -which fathers must attend for sure, but not mothers.

Social service agencies like DSS who tells mothers that if they don't get a restraining order against fathers, then DSS will take over custody of children! -a terrifying agency that will eventually be big sister to all parents.

Abuse training, helping, and awareness organizations that train police and counsel judges how all men are in denial if they don't admit their abusiveness, and who write rules and legislative laws on domestic violence that are directed mostly against men.

State prosecuting agencies that receive extra funds for their domestic violence program and follow the present paradigm that the problem is always the man's propagandized 'natural' abusiveness.

Department of Revenue/Childsupport enforcement Agency who receive federal money in proportion to the amount of child support that is ordered by Courts **who in turn get paid by DOR** - a clearly unconstitutional relationship. The **Federal Childsupport Enforcement Agency** who also lives off fathers and represents a federal gestapo enforcing the unconstitutional and immoral destruction of fathers in the name of their children.

Child support collectors, agencies, companies, and the like who make mega-bucks pursuing and persecuting mainly fathers. Anyone can be a bounty hunter.

Colleges & Universities like Wellesley college who create pseudo-scientific psychological analyses and clearly fraudulent court evaluation studies on how women are abused by the Court system in direct contradiction to the fact that every day in court is "mother's day"

Police who increase their budgets and keep their jobs by persecuting mostly fathers/families by executing arrest warrants and the like, including by the recent federal "law enforcement" sweep, not for terrorists, but for persecuted fathers

Men who live with divorced mothers supporting the alienation of the fathers and share in the extortion of him for child support. Correction -these are not men but womanmen.

This ever-growing industry, the DDVI, feed off money that comes from denial of fathers' rights, -their daily bread - and then lobby and fund legislators and collude with the media to further deny fathers rights, and maintain the deafening silence about the tyranny by which they prosper. These entities, funded by fathers and the state, achieve an overwhelming influence to obscure their tyranny, prevent the legal rectification of injustice to fathers and lobby for ever greater unconstitutional deprivations of fathers. They villainize fathers under such propaganda terms as 'deadbeat dads' and 'batterers' to rally a distracted and unknowing public to further unjustly restrict the fundamental rights of fathers. All the supposedly 'good' people who will not speak up against the injustice taking place-at their own expense - are the oil that keeps this tyranny running smoothly. They are not good people! END